

NSSME

THE NATIONAL SURVEY OF
SCIENCE & MATHEMATICS EDUCATION

Report of the 2018 NSSME+

DECEMBER 2018

Eric R. Banilower
P. Sean Smith
Kristen A. Malzahn
Courtney L. Plumley
Evelyn M. Gordon
Meredith L. Hayes



horizon
RESEARCH, INC.

Disclaimer

The *Report of the 2018 NSSME+* was prepared with support from the National Science Foundation under grant number DGE-1642413. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Suggested Citation

Banilower, E. R., Smith, P. S., Malzahn, K. A., Plumley, C. L., Gordon, E. M., & Hayes, M. L. (2018). *Report of the 2018 NSSME+*. Chapel Hill, NC: Horizon Research, Inc.

Additional Information

More details and products from the 2018 NSSME+, as well as previous iterations of the study, can be found at: <http://horizon-research.com/NSSME/>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	xix
Acknowledgements	xxi
Chapter One: Introduction	1
Sample Design and Sampling Error Considerations.....	2
Instrument Development.....	4
Data Collection	4
Outline of This Report	5
Chapter Two: Teacher Background and Beliefs	7
Overview.....	7
Teacher Characteristics.....	7
Teacher Preparation	13
Teacher Pedagogical Beliefs.....	26
Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness.....	31
Teachers’ Leadership Roles and Responsibilities.....	44
Summary	45
Chapter Three: Science, Mathematics, and Computer Science Professional Development 47	
Overview.....	47
Teacher Professional Development	47
Professional Development Offerings at the School Level	59
Teacher Induction Programs	71
Summary	75
Chapter Four: Science, Mathematics, and Computer Science Courses	77
Overview.....	77
Time Spent in Elementary Science and Mathematics Instruction	77
Science, Mathematics, and Computer Science Course Offerings.....	78
Other Characteristics of Science, Mathematics, and Computer Science Classes	90
Summary	98
Chapter Five: Instructional Decision Making, Objectives, and Activities	101
Overview.....	101
Teachers’ Perceptions of Their Decision-Making Autonomy	101
Instructional Objectives	108
Class Activities	112
Homework and Assessment Practices.....	128
Summary	131

Chapter Six: Instructional Resources	133
Overview	133
Use of Textbooks and Other Instructional Resources.....	133
Facilities and Equipment.....	147
Summary	154
Chapter Seven: Factors Affecting Instruction	157
Overview.....	157
School Programs and Practices	157
Extent of Influence of State Standards.....	163
Factors That Promote and Inhibit Instruction	164
Summary	176
Appendix A: Sampling and Weighting for the 2018 NSSME+	
Appendix B: Description of Data Collection	
Appendix C: Survey Questionnaires	
Appendix D: Description of Reporting Variables	
Appendix E: Additional Equity Cross-Tabulations	

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Chapter Two: Teacher Background and Beliefs

2.1	Characteristics of the Science Teaching Force, by Grade Range.....	8
2.2	Characteristics of the Mathematics Teaching Force, by Grade Range	9
2.3	Characteristics of the High School Computer Science Teaching Force.....	11
2.4	Equity Analyses of Classes Taught by Teachers With Varying Experience Teaching Subject, by Proportion of Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Lunch.....	12
2.5	Equity Analysis of Classes Taught by Teachers From Race/Ethnicity Groups Historically Underrepresented in STEM, by Subject	12
2.6	Teacher Degrees, by Grade Range	13
2.7	Science Teachers With College Coursework in Various Disciplines, by Grade Range.....	14
2.8	Secondary Science Teachers Completing Various Biology/Life Science Courses, by Grade Range	14
2.9	Secondary Science Teachers Completing Various Chemistry Courses, by Grade Range	15
2.10	Secondary Science Teachers Completing Various Physics Courses, by Grade Range.....	15
2.11	Secondary Science Teachers Completing Various Earth/Space Science Courses, by Grade Range	16
2.12	Secondary Science Teachers Completing Various Environmental Science Courses, by Grade Range	16
2.13	Elementary Science Teachers' Coursework Related to NSTA Preparation Standards	17
2.14	Middle School Teachers of General/Integrated Science Coursework Related to NSTA Preparation Standards	17
2.15	Secondary Science Teachers With Varying Levels of Background in Subject.....	18
2.16	Equity Analyses of Secondary Science Classes With Teachers With Substantial Background in Subject of Selected Class	19
2.17	Elementary Mathematics Teachers Completing Various College Courses.....	19
2.18	Elementary Mathematics Teachers' Coursework Related to NCTM Preparation Standards.....	20
2.19	Secondary Mathematics Teachers Completing Various College Courses, by Grade Range	21
2.20	Middle School Mathematics Teachers' Coursework Related to NCTM Preparation Standards	21
2.21	High School Mathematics Teachers' Coursework Related to NCTM Preparation Standards	22
2.22	High School Computer Science Teachers Completing Various College Course.....	23
2.23	High School Computer Science Teachers' Coursework Related to CSTA/ISTE Course- Background Standards.....	24
2.24	Teachers' Paths to Certification, by Grade Range	24
2.25	High School Science Teachers' Areas of Certification.....	25
2.26	High School Computer Science Teachers' Areas of Certification.....	25
2.27	Teachers With Full-Time Job Experience in Their Designated Field Prior to Teaching, by Grade Range	26
2.28	Science Teachers Agreeing With Various Statements About Teaching and Learning, by Grade Range	27
2.29	Mean Scores for Science Teachers' Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	27
2.30	Mathematics Teachers Agreeing With Various Statements About Teaching and Learning, by Grade Range	28

2.31	Mean Scores for Mathematics Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	29
2.32	High School Computer Science Teachers Agreeing With Various Statements About Teaching and Learning	29
2.33	Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	30
2.34	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Science Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	30
2.35	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Mathematics Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	31
2.36	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	31
2.37	Elementary Teachers’ Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Each Subject	32
2.38	Elementary Teachers’ Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Various Science Disciplines	32
2.39	Elementary Teachers’ Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Various Mathematics Topics	33
2.40	Secondary Science Teachers Considering Themselves Very Well Prepared to Teach Each of a Number of Topics, by Grade Range	34
2.41	Mean Scores for Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Content Preparedness Composite	34
2.42	Secondary Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Engineering	35
2.43	Mean Scores for Secondary Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness to Teach Engineering Composite	35
2.44	Secondary Mathematics Teachers Considering Themselves Very Well Prepared to Teach Each of a Number of Topics, by Grade Range	36
2.45	Mean Scores for Mathematics Teachers’ Perceptions of Content Preparedness Composite	36
2.46	High School Computer Science Teachers Considering Themselves Very Well Prepared to Teach Each of a Number of Topics	36
2.47	Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Content Preparedness Composite	37
2.48	Science Teachers Considering Themselves Very Well Prepared for Each of a Number of Tasks, by Grade Range	37
2.49	Mean Scores for Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Pedagogical Preparedness Composite	38
2.50	Science Classes in Which Teachers Feel Very Well Prepared for Each of a Number of Tasks in the Most Recent Unit in a Designated Class, by Grade Range	38
2.51	Mean Scores for Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Particular Unit Composite	38
2.52	Mathematics Teachers Considering Themselves Very Well Prepared for Each of a Number of Tasks, by Grade Range	39
2.53	Mean Scores for Mathematics Teachers’ Perceptions of Pedagogical Preparedness Composite	39
2.54	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Feel Very Well Prepared for Various Tasks in the Most Recent Unit, by Grade Range	40
2.55	Mean Scores for Mathematics Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Particular Unit Composite	40
2.56	High School Computer Science Teachers Considering Themselves Very Well Prepared for Each of a Number of Tasks	40

2.57	Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Pedagogical Preparedness Composite.....	41
2.58	High School Computer Science Classes in Which Teachers Feel Very Well Prepared for Various Tasks in the Most Recent Unit.....	41
2.59	Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Particular Unit Composite.....	41
2.60	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Science Teacher Perceptions of Preparedness Composites	42
2.61	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Mathematics Teacher Perceptions of Preparedness Composites	43
2.62	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teacher Perceptions of Preparedness Composites	43
2.63	Science Teachers Having Various Leadership Responsibilities Within the Last Three Years, by Grade Range.....	44
2.64	Mathematics Teachers Having Various Leadership Responsibilities Within the Last Three Years, by Grade Range.....	45
2.65	High School Computer Science Teachers Having Various Leadership Responsibilities Within the Last Three Years.....	45

Chapter Three: Science and Mathematics Professional Development

3.1	Most Recent Participation in Professional Development, by Grade Range.....	48
3.2	Time Spent on Professional Development in the Last Three Years, by Grade Range.....	49
3.3	Equity Analyses of Classes Taught by Teachers With More Than 35 Hours of Professional Development in the Last Three Years, by Subject	50
3.4	Teachers Participating in Various Professional Development Activities in Last Three Years, by Grade Range	51
3.5	Science Teachers Whose Professional Development in the Last Three Years Had Each of a Number of Characteristics to a Substantial Extent, by Grade Range	52
3.6	Mathematics Teachers Whose Professional Development in the Last Three Years Had Each of a Number of Characteristics to a Substantial Extent, by Grade Range	53
3.7	High School Computer Science Teachers Whose Professional Development in the Last Three Years Had Each of a Number of Characteristics to a Substantial Extent.....	53
3.8	Teacher Mean Scores for Extent Professional Development Aligns With Elements of Effective Professional Development Composite, by Subject.....	54
3.9	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Extent Professional Development Aligns With Elements of Effective Professional Development Composite, by Subject.....	55
3.10	Science Teachers Reporting That Their Professional Development in the Last Three Years Gave Heavy Emphasis to Various Areas, by Grade Range.....	56
3.11	Mathematics Teachers Reporting That Their Professional Development in the Last Three Years Gave Heavy Emphasis to Various Areas, by Grade Range	57
3.12	High School Computer Science Teachers Reporting That Their Professional Development in the Last Three Years Gave Heavy Emphasis to Various Areas	57
3.13	Teacher Mean Scores for Extent Professional Development Supports Student-Centered Instruction Composite, by Subject.....	58
3.14	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Extent Professional Development Supports Student-Centered Instruction Composite, by Subject.....	59

3.15	Professional Development Workshops Offered Locally in the Last Three Years, by Subject	60
3.16	Locally Offered Science Professional Development Workshops in the Last Three Years With a Substantial Emphasis in Each of a Number of Areas	60
3.17	Locally Offered Mathematics Professional Development Workshops in the Last Three Years With a Substantial Emphasis in Each of a Number of Areas	61
3.18	Teacher Study Groups Offered at Schools in the Last Three Years, by Subject.....	61
3.19	Participation, Duration, and Frequency of Teacher Study Groups, by Subject	62
3.20	Origin of Designated Leaders of Teacher Study Groups, by Subject	62
3.21	Composition of Teacher Study Groups, by Subject	63
3.22	Description of Activities in Typical Science Teacher Study Groups.....	63
3.23	Description of Activities in Typical Mathematics Teacher Study Groups.....	64
3.24	Science Teacher Study Groups Offered in the Last Three Years With a Substantial Emphasis in Each of a Number of Areas.....	65
3.25	Mathematics Teacher Study Groups Offered in the Last Three Years With a Substantial Emphasis in Each of a Number of Areas.....	65
3.26	How Schools Provide Time for Professional Development, by Grade Range.....	66
3.27	Schools Providing One-on-One Coaching, by Subject	67
3.28	Average Percentage of Teachers in Schools Receiving One-on-One Coaching, by Subject.....	67
3.29	Teaching Professionals Providing One-on-One Coaching, by Subject.....	67
3.30	Teaching Professionals Providing One-on-One Coaching to a Substantial Extent, by Subject	68
3.31	Services Provided to Teachers in Need of Special Assistance in Teaching, by Grade Range.....	68
3.32	Schools Not Offering Any Type of Professional Development in the Last Three Years, by Grade Range	69
3.33	Equity Analyses of Locally Offered Science Professional Development Available to Teachers.....	69
3.34	Equity Analyses of Locally Offered Mathematics Professional Development Available to Teachers.....	70
3.35	Equity Analyses of Locally Offered Computer Science Professional Development Available to Teachers	71
3.36	Typical Duration of Formal Induction Programs, by Grade Range	71
3.37	Organization Developing and Implementing Formal Induction Programs, by Grade Range	72
3.38	Equity Analyses of Schools Offering Formal Induction Programs.....	72
3.39	Supports Provided as Part of Formal Induction Programs, by Grade Range	73
3.40	Equity Analyses of Schools Providing Formally Assigned School-Based Mentors.....	74
3.41	Incentives and Requirements of Formally Assigned School-Based Mentors in Induction Programs, by Grade Range	74

Chapter Four: Science and Mathematics Courses

4.1	Frequency With Which Self-Contained Elementary Teachers Teach Science and Mathematics, by Subject.....	77
4.2	Average Number of Minutes Per Day Spent Teaching Each Subject in Self-Contained Classes, by Grade Range	78
4.3	Type of Middle School Science Courses Offered, by Grade	78
4.4	High Schools Offering Various Science Courses	79
4.5	Access to AP Science Courses, by Schools and Students.....	80

4.6	Number of AP Science Courses Offered at High Schools	80
4.7	Equity Analyses of Number of AP Science Courses Offered at High Schools.....	81
4.8	Access to IB Science Courses, by School and Students.....	81
4.9	Science Programs and Practices Currently Being Implemented in High Schools	82
4.10	Middle Schools With Various Percentages of 8 th Graders Completing Algebra 1 and Geometry Prior to 9 th Grade	82
4.11	Equity Analyses of Average Percentage of 8 th Graders Completing Algebra 1 and Geometry Prior to 9 th Grade	83
4.12	High Schools Offering Various Mathematics Courses	83
4.13	Type of High School Mathematics Courses Offered	83
4.14	Access to AP Mathematics Courses, by Schools and Students.....	84
4.15	Number of AP Mathematics Courses Offered at High Schools.....	84
4.16	Equity Analyses of Number of AP Mathematics Courses Offered at High Schools	85
4.17	Access to IB Mathematics Courses, by Schools and Students.....	85
4.18	Mathematics Programs and Practices Currently Being Implemented in High Schools	86
4.19	Access to Computer Science Instruction, by Schools and Students.....	86
4.20	Equity Analyses of Schools Offering Computer Science Instruction	87
4.21	High Schools Offering Various Computer Science and Technology Courses.....	87
4.22	Access to AP Computer Science Courses, by Schools and Students.....	87
4.23	Number of AP Computer Science Courses Offered at High Schools	88
4.24	Equity Analyses of Number of AP Computer Science Courses Offered at High Schools.....	88
4.25	Computer Science Course-Offering Practices Currently Being Implemented in High Schools	89
4.26	Most Commonly Offered High School Science Courses.....	89
4.27	Most Commonly Offered High School Mathematics Courses.....	90
4.28	Most Commonly Offered High School Computer Science Courses.....	90
4.29	Average Class Size, by Grade Range.....	90
4.30	Average High School Class Size.....	91
4.31	Average Percentages of Female and Historically Underrepresented Students in Classes, by Grade Range	93
4.32	Average Percentages of Female and Historically Underrepresented Students in High School Courses	94
4.33	Prior Achievement Grouping in Classes, by Grade Range	95
4.34	Prior Achievement Grouping in High School Courses	96
4.35	Prior Achievement Grouping in Grade K–12 Science Classes With Low, Medium, and High Percentages of Students From Race/Ethnicity Groups Historically Underrepresented in STEM.....	97
4.36	Prior Achievement Grouping in Grade K–12 Mathematics Classes With Low, Medium, and High Percentages of Students From Race/Ethnicity Groups Historically Underrepresented in STEM.....	97
4.37	Prior Achievement Grouping in High School Computer Science Classes With Low, Medium, and High Percentages of Students From Race/Ethnicity Groups Historically Underrepresented in STEM.....	98

Chapter Five: Instructional Decision Making, Objectives, and Activities

5.1	Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Having Strong Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions, by Grade Range.....	102
5.2	Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Having No Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions, by Grade Range.....	102
5.3	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Having Strong Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions, by Grade Range	103
5.4	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Having No Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions, by Grade Range	103
5.5	High School Computer Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Having Strong Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions.....	103
5.6	High School Computer Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Having No Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions.....	104
5.7	Class Mean Scores for Curriculum Control and Pedagogy Control Composites.....	105
5.8	Equity Analyses of Science Class Mean Scores for Curriculum Control and Pedagogy Control Composites	106
5.9	Equity Analyses of Mathematics Class Mean Scores for Curriculum Control and Pedagogy Control Composites	107
5.10	Equity Analyses of High School Computer Science Class Mean Scores for Curriculum Control and Pedagogy Control Composites	108
5.11	Science Classes With Heavy Emphasis on Various Instructional Objectives, by Grade Range.....	109
5.12	Science Classes With No Emphasis on Learning How To Do Engineering	109
5.13	Science Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite.....	109
5.14	Equity Analysis of Science Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite by Prior Achievement Level of Class.....	110
5.15	Mathematics Classes With Heavy Emphasis on Various Instructional Objectives, by Grade Range.....	110
5.16	Mathematics Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite.....	111
5.17	Equity Analysis of Mathematics Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite by Prior Achievement Level of Class.....	111
5.18	High School Computer Science Classes With Heavy Emphasis on Various Instructional Objectives	111
5.19	Equity Analyses of High School Computer Science Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite.....	112
5.20	Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities in All or Almost All Lessons, by Grade Range	113
5.21	Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities at Least Once a Week, by Grade Range	114
5.22	Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Engaging in Various Aspects of Science Practices at Least Once a Week, by Grade Range.....	115
5.23	Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Never Engaging in Various Aspects of Science Practices, by Grade Range	116
5.24	Science Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in the Practices of Science Composite	117

5.25	Equity Analyses of Science Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in the Practices of Science Composite	117
5.26	Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Incorporating Engineering and Coding into Science Instruction, by Grade Range.....	118
5.27	Science Classes Participating in Various Activities in Most Recent Lesson, by Grade Range	118
5.28	Average Percentage of Time Spent on Different Activities in the Most Recent Science Lesson, by Grade Range	119
5.29	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities in All or Almost All Lessons, by Grade Range	119
5.30	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities at Least Once a Week, by Grade Range	120
5.31	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Engaging in Various Aspects of Mathematical Practices in All or Almost All Lessons, by Grade Range.....	121
5.32	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Engaging in Various Aspects of Mathematical Practices at Least Once a Week, by Grade Range	122
5.33	Mathematics Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in Practices of Mathematics Composite.....	122
5.34	Equity Analyses of Mathematics Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in Practices of Mathematics Composite	123
5.35	Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Incorporating Coding into Mathematics Instruction, by Grade Range	123
5.36	Mathematics Classes Participating in Various Activities in Most Recent Lesson, by Grade Range	124
5.37	Average Percentage of Time Spent on Different Activities in the Most Recent Mathematics Lesson, by Grade Range	124
5.38	High School Computer Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities in All or Almost All Lessons	125
5.39	High School Computer Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities at Least Once a Week.....	125
5.40	High School Computer Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Engaging in Various Aspects of Computer Science Practices at Least Once a Week	126
5.41	High School Computer Science Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Never Engaging in Various Aspects of Computer Science Practices	127
5.42	Equity Analyses of High School Computer Science Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in Practices of Computer Science Composite	127
5.43	High School Computer Science Classes Participating in Various Activities in Most Recent Lesson.....	128
5.44	Average Percentage of Time Spent on Different Activities in the Most Recent High School Computer Science Lesson.....	128
5.45	Amount of Homework Assigned in Classes Per Week, by Grade Range.....	129
5.46	Frequency of Required External Testing in Classes, by Grade Range.....	130
5.47	Equity Analyses of Classes Required to Take External Assessments Two or More Times Per Year, by Subject	131

Chapter Six: Instructional Resources

6.1	Classes for Which the District Designates Instructional Materials to Be Used, by Subject	133
-----	--	-----

6.2	Science Classes for Which Various Types of Instructional Resources Are Designated, by Grade Range	134
6.3	Mathematics Classes for Which Various Types of Instructional Resources Are Designated, by Grade Range	134
6.4	High School Computer Science Classes for Which Various Types of Instructional Resources Are Designated.....	135
6.5	Science Classes Basing Instruction on Various Instructional Resources at Least Once a Week, by Grade Range.....	135
6.6	Mathematics Classes Basing Instruction on Various Instructional Resources at Least Once a Week, by Grade Range.....	136
6.7	High School Computer Science Classes Basing Instruction on Various Instructional Resources at Least Once a Week.....	136
6.8	High School Classes Never Basing Instruction on Various Instructional Resources, by Subject	137
6.9	Market Share of Commercial Textbook Publishers Used in Science Classes, by Grade Range.....	138
6.10	Market Share of Commercial Textbook Publishers Used in Mathematics Classes, by Grade Range.....	139
6.11	Market Share of Commercial Textbook Publishers Used in High School Computer Science Classes	140
6.12	Most Commonly Used Science Textbooks in Each Grade Range and Course.....	141
6.13	Most Commonly Used Mathematics Textbooks in Each Grade Range and Course.....	142
6.14	Publication Year of Textbooks/Programs, by Grade Range	143
6.15	Classes in Which the Most Recent Unit Was Based on a Commercially Published Textbook or a Material Developed by the State or District, by Grade Range	143
6.16	Ways Teachers Substantially Used Their Textbook in Most Recent Unit, by Grade Range.....	144
6.17	Reasons Why Parts of Materials Are Skipped, by Grade Range	145
6.18	Reasons Why Materials Are Supplemented, by Grade Range	146
6.19	Reasons Why Materials Are Modified, by Grade Range.....	147
6.20	Schools With Various Computing Resources, by Grade Range	147
6.21	Schools With Various Policies About Students Bringing Their Own Computers to School, by Grade Range	148
6.22	Provision of Technologies in High School Computer Science Classes	148
6.23	Availability of Instructional Technologies in Science Classes, by Grade Range	149
6.24	Availability of Instructional Technologies in High School Computer Science Classes	149
6.25	Availability of Laboratory Facilities in Science Classes, by Grade Range.....	150
6.26	Median Amount Schools Spent Per Pupil on Science and Mathematics Equipment, Consumable Supplies, and Software, by Grade Range	150
6.27	Equity Analyses of Median Amount Schools Spent Per Pupil on Science Equipment and Consumable Supplies	151
6.28	Equity Analyses of Median Amount Schools Spent Per Pupil on Mathematics Equipment and Consumable Supplies.....	152
6.29	Adequacy of Resources for Science Instruction, by Grade Range.....	152
6.30	Adequacy of Resources for Mathematics Instruction, by Grade Range	153
6.31	Class Mean Scores for the Adequacy of Resources for Instruction Composite, by Subject.....	153

6.32	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for the Adequacy of Resources for Instruction Composite, by Subject.....	154
6.33	Factors Perceived as Problems in High School Computer Science Classes	154

Chapter Seven: Factors Affecting Instruction

7.1	Use of Various Instructional Arrangements in Elementary Schools, by Subject.....	157
7.2	Prevalence of Various High School Course Policies	158
7.3	Subjects for Which Students May Demonstrate Mastery of Course Content for Credit Without Normal Seat Time Requirement	158
7.4	High School Graduation vs. State University Entrance Requirements, by Subject	159
7.5	High School Computer Science Graduation Requirements	159
7.6	High School Computer Science Counting for Graduation Requirements in Other Subject Areas.....	160
7.7	School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students’ Interest and/or Achievement in Science/ Engineering, by Grade Range.....	160
7.8	School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students’ Interest and/or Achievement in Mathematics, by Grade Range.....	161
7.9	School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students’ Interest and/or Achievement in Computer Science, by Grade Range.....	161
7.10	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students’ Interest in Science/ Engineering.....	162
7.11	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students’ Interest in Mathematics.....	162
7.12	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students’ Interest in Computer Science.....	163
7.13	Influence of State Science and Mathematics Standards in Schools, by Grade Range	164
7.14	School Mean Scores for the Focus on State Standards Composite, by Subject.....	164
7.15	School Policies Related to Teachers Traveling Among Rooms Due to a Shortage of Classrooms, by Grade Range.....	165
7.16	Effect of Various Factors on Science Instruction.....	165
7.17	Effect of Various Factors on Mathematics Instruction	166
7.18	School Mean Scores for the Supportive Context for Science/Mathematics Instruction Composites, by Subject	166
7.19	Science Program Representatives Viewing Each of a Number of Factors as a Problem for Science Instruction in Their School, by Grade Range.....	167
7.20	Mathematics Program Representatives Viewing Each of a Number of Factors as a Problem for Mathematics Instruction in Their School, by Grade Range	168
7.21	School Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Instruction Composites, by Grade Range	168
7.22	Equity Analyses of School Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Instruction Composites by Percentage of Students in School Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Lunch	169
7.23	Effect of Various Factors on Instruction in Elementary Science Classes	170
7.24	Effect of Various Factors on Instruction in Middle School Science Classes	170
7.25	Effect of Various Factors on Instruction in High School Science Classes.....	171
7.26	Effect of Various Factors on Instruction in Elementary Mathematics Classes.....	172
7.27	Effect of Various Factors on Instruction in Middle School Mathematics Classes.....	172
7.28	Effect of Various Factors on Instruction in High School Mathematics Classes	173

7.29	Effect of Various Factors on Instruction in High School Computer Science Classes.....	174
7.30	Class Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Instruction Composites, by Grade Range.....	174
7.31	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Science Instruction Composites	175
7.32	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Mathematics Instruction Composites	176
7.33	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Computer Science Instruction Composites	176

Appendix A: Sampling and Weighting for 2018 NSSME+

A-1	School Sample by Census Region, Metro Status, and School Type	A-4
A-2	Distribution of Sample, by Stratum.....	A-4
A-3	Definition of School Locale Code, Based on School’s Address	A-5
A-4	Teachers Selected in Each School Stratum	A-7

Appendix B: Description of Data Collection

B-1	Percentage of Slots Filled, by Stratum.....	B-4
B-2	School/Program Questionnaire Response Rates	B-6
B-3	Teacher Questionnaire Response Rates	B-7

Appendix D: Description of Reporting Variables

D-1	Extent Professional Development Aligns With Elements of Effective Professional Development.....	D-5
D-2	Extent Professional Development Supports Student-Centered Instruction.....	D-7
D-3	Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Elementary Science	D-9
D-4	Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Elementary Mathematics	D-10
D-5	Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Secondary Science.....	D-11
D-6	Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Secondary Mathematics.....	D-13
D-7	Perceptions of Content Preparedness: High School Computer Science.....	D-14
D-8	Perceptions of Preparedness to Teach Engineering	D-15
D-9	Perceptions of Pedagogical Preparedness	D-16
D-10	Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Particular Unit	D-18
D-11	Traditional Teaching Beliefs.....	D-20
D-12	Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs	D-22
D-13	Curriculum Control	D-24
D-14	Pedagogy Control.....	D-26
D-15	Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives	D-28
D-16	Engaging Students in Practices of Science	D-30
D-17	Engaging Students in Practices of Mathematics	D-32
D-18	Engaging Students in Practices of Computer Science.....	D-33
D-19	Adequacy of Resources for Science Instruction.....	D-34
D-20	Adequacy of Resources for Mathematics Instruction	D-35
D-21	Extent to Which Computer/Internet Access is Problematic	D-36
D-22	Extent to Which the Policy Environment Promotes Effective Instruction.....	D-37
D-23	Extent to Which Stakeholders Promote Effective Instruction.....	D-39
D-24	Extent to Which School Support Promotes Effective Instruction.....	D-41
D-25	Focus on State Science/Mathematics Standards	D-43
D-26	Supportive Context for Science/Mathematics Instruction.....	D-44

D-27	Extent to Which a Lack of Resources Is Problematic	D-45
D-28	Extent to Which Student Issues Are Problematic	D-46
D-29	Extent to Which Teacher Issues Are Problematic.....	D-47

Appendix E: Additional Equity Cross-Tabulations

E-1	Equity Analyses of Science Classes Taught by Teachers With Varying Experience Teaching Science.....	E-3
E-2	Equity Analyses of Mathematics Classes Taught by Teachers With Varying Experience Teaching Mathematics.....	E-4
E-3	Equity Analyses of High School Computer Science Classes Taught by Teachers With Varying Experience Teaching Computer Science.....	E-5
E-4	Equity Analyses of Classes Taught by Teachers From Race/Ethnicity Groups Historically Underrepresented in STEM.....	E-6
E-5	Equity Analyses of Secondary Science Classes With Teachers With Substantial Background in Subject of Selected Class	E-7
E-6	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Science Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites.....	E-8
E-7	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Mathematics Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	E-9
E-8	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teachers’ Beliefs About Teaching and Learning Composites	E-10
E-9	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness Composites	E-11
E-10	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Mathematics Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness Composites	E-12
E-11	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for High School Computer Science Teachers’ Perceptions of Preparedness Composites	E-13
E-12	Equity Analyses of Classes Taught by Teachers With More Than 35 Hours of Professional Development in the Last Three Years, by Subject	E-14
E-13	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Extent Professional Development Aligns With Elements of Effective Professional Development Composite, by Subject.....	E-15
E-14	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Extent Professional Development Supports Student-Centered Instruction Composite, by Subject.....	E-16
E-15	Equity Analyses of Locally Offered Science Professional Development Available to Teachers.....	E-17
E-16	Equity Analyses of Locally Offered Mathematics Professional Development Available to Teachers.....	E-18
E-17	Equity Analyses of Locally Offered Computer Science Professional Development Available to Teachers	E-19
E-18	Equity Analyses of Schools Offering Formal Induction Programs.....	E-20
E-19	Equity Analyses of Schools Providing Formally Assigned School-Based Mentors.....	E-21
E-20	Equity Analyses of Average Number of AP Science Courses Offered at High Schools.....	E-21
E-21	Equity Analyses of Average Percentage of 8 th Graders Completing Algebra 1 and Geometry Prior to 9 th Grade	E-22
E-22	Equity Analyses of Average Number of AP Mathematics Courses Offered at High Schools.....	E-23
E-23	Equity Analyses of Schools Offering Computer Science Instruction	E-23

E-24	Equity Analyses of Average Number of AP Computer Science Courses Offered at High Schools.....	E-24
E-25	Equity Analyses of Science Class Mean Scores for Curriculum Control and Pedagogy Control Composites	E-25
E-26	Equity Analyses of Mathematics Class Mean Scores for Curriculum Control and Pedagogy Control Composites	E-26
E-27	Equity Analyses of High School Computer Science Class Mean Scores for Curriculum Control and Pedagogy Control Composites	E-27
E-28	Equity Analyses of Science Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite.....	E-28
E-29	Equity Analyses of Mathematics Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite.....	E-29
E-30	Equity Analyses of High School Computer Science Class Mean Scores for the Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite.....	E-30
E-31	Equity Analyses of Science Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in the Practices of Science Composite	E-31
E-32	Equity Analyses of Mathematics Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in Practices of Mathematics Composite	E-32
E-33	Equity Analyses of High School Computer Science Class Mean Scores for Engaging Students in Practices of Computer Science Composite.....	E-33
E-34	Equity Analyses of Classes Required to Take External Assessments Two or More Times Per Year, by Subject	E-34
E-35	Equity Analyses of Median Amount Schools Spent Per Pupil on Science Equipment and Consumable Supplies	E-35
E-36	Equity Analyses of Median Amount Schools Spent Per Pupil on Mathematics Equipment and Consumable Supplies.....	E-36
E-37	Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for the Adequacy of Resources for Instruction Composite, by Subject.....	E-37
E-38	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Science/Engineering, by Percentage of Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Lunch.....	E-38
E-39	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Science/Engineering, by School Size.....	E-38
E-40	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Science/Engineering, by Community Type	E-39
E-41	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Science/Engineering, by Region	E-39
E-42	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Mathematics, by Percentage of Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Lunch.....	E-40
E-43	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Mathematics, by School Size.....	E-40
E-44	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Mathematics, by Community Type	E-41
E-45	Equity Analyses of School Programs/Practices to Enhance Students' Interest in Mathematics, by Region	E-41
E-46	Equity Analyses of School Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Science Instruction Composites	E-42

E-47 Equity Analyses of School Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Mathematics Instruction
Composites E-43

E-48 Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Science Instruction
Composites E-44

E-49 Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Mathematics Instruction
Composites E-45

E-50 Equity Analyses of Class Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Computer Science Instruction
Composites E-46

LIST OF FIGURES

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter Four: Science and Mathematics Courses	
4.1 Class Size	92
Appendix D: Description of Reporting Variables	
D-1 K–12 Science: Extent Professional Development Aligns With Elements of Effective Professional Development.....	D-6
D-2 K–12 Mathematics: Extent Professional Development Aligns With Elements of Effective Professional Development.....	D-6
D-3 9–12 Computer Science: Extent Professional Development Aligns With Elements of Effective Professional Development	D-6
D-4 K–12 Science: Extent Professional Development Supports Student-Centered Instruction	D-8
D-5 K–12 Mathematics: Extent Professional Development Supports Student-Centered Instruction.....	D-8
D-6 9–12 Computer Science: Extent Professional Development Supports Student-Centered Instruction.....	D-8
D-7 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Elementary Science	D-9
D-8 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Elementary Mathematics.....	D-10
D-9 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Biology/Life Science	D-12
D-10 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Chemistry	D-12
D-11 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Earth Science	D-12
D-12 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Integrated/General Science.....	D-12
D-13 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Physical Science	D-12
D-14 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Physics.....	D-12
D-15 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Secondary Mathematics.....	D-13
D-16 Perceptions of Content Preparedness: High School Computer Science.....	D-14
D-17 Perceptions of Preparedness to Teach Engineering	D-15
D-18 K–12 Science: Perceptions of Pedagogical Preparedness.....	D-17
D-19 K–12 Mathematics: Perceptions of Pedagogical Preparedness.....	D-17
D-20 9–12 Computer Science: Perceptions of Pedagogical Preparedness.....	D-17
D-21 K–12 Science: Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Unit.....	D-19
D-22 K–12 Mathematics: Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Unit	D-19
D-23 9–12 Computer Science: Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Unit.....	D-19
D-24 K–12 Science: Traditional Teaching Beliefs	D-21
D-25 K–12 Mathematics: Traditional Teaching Beliefs	D-21
D-26 9–12 Computer Science: Traditional Teaching Beliefs	D-21
D-27 K–12 Science: Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs.....	D-23
D-28 K–12 Mathematics: Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs.....	D-23
D-29 9–12 Computer Science: Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs.....	D-23
D-30 K–12 Science: Curriculum Control.....	D-25
D-31 K–12 Mathematics: Curriculum Control.....	D-25
D-32 9–12 Computer Science: Curriculum Control.....	D-25
D-33 K–12 Science: Pedagogy Control	D-27
D-34 K–12 Mathematics: Pedagogy Control	D-27

D-35	9–12 Computer Science: Pedagogy Control	D-27
D-36	K–12 Science: Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives.....	D-29
D-37	K–12 Mathematics: Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives	D-29
D-38	9–12 Computer Science: Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives.....	D-29
D-39	K–12 Science: Engaging Students in Practices of Science	D-31
D-40	K–12 Mathematics: Engaging Students in Practices of Mathematics.....	D-32
D-41	9–12 Computer Science: Engaging Students in Practices of Computer Science	D-33
D-42	K–12 Science: Adequacy of Resources for Instruction.....	D-34
D-43	K–12 Mathematics: Adequacy of Resources for Instruction	D-35
D-44	9–12 Computer Science: Extent to Which Computer/Internet Access is Problematic.....	D-36
D-45	K–12 Science: Extent to Which the Policy Environment Promotes Effective Instruction	D-38
D-46	K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which the Policy Environment Promotes Effective Instruction.....	D-38
D-47	9–12 Computer Science: Extent to Which the Policy Environment Promotes Effective Instruction.....	D-38
D-48	K–12 Science: Extent to Which Stakeholders Promote Effective Instruction	D-40
D-49	K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which Stakeholders Promote Effective Instruction	D-40
D-50	9–12 Computer Science: Extent to Which Stakeholders Promote Effective Instruction	D-40
D-51	K–12 Science: Extent to Which School Support Promotes Effective Instruction.....	D-42
D-52	K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which School Support Promotes Effective Instruction	D-42
D-53	9–12 Computer Science: Extent to Which School Support Promotes Effective Instruction.....	D-42
D-54	K–12 Science: Focus on State Standards	D-43
D-55	K–12 Mathematics: Focus on State Standards	D-43
D-56	K–12 Science: Supportive Context for Science Instruction.....	D-44
D-57	K–12 Mathematics: Supportive Context for Mathematics Instruction.....	D-44
D-58	K–12 Science: Extent to Which a Lack of Resources Is Problematic.....	D-45
D-59	K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which a Lack of Resources Is Problematic	D-45
D-60	K–12 Science: Extent to Which Student Issues Are Problematic	D-46
D-61	K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which Student Issues Are Problematic.....	D-46
D-62	K–12 Science: Extent to Which Teacher Issues Are Problematic	D-47
D-63	K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which Teacher Issues Are Problematic	D-47

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The 2018 NSSME+ was conducted by Horizon Research, Inc. (HRI) of Chapel Hill, North Carolina with support from the National Science Foundation (NSF). The project was led by Eric R. Banilower, Daniel J. Heck, Kristen A. Malzahn, and P. Sean Smith. A number of other HRI staff assisted with the study, including Anna Bruce, Laura Craven, Jessica Dula, Patrick Havekost, Pippa Hoover, Susan Hudson, Zehra Jaffri, Peggy Trygstad, Josh Whiffen, and Kayla Wingard. The sample design was developed by Mike Brick and Pam Broene of Westat, Inc. of Rockville, Maryland. Cindy Gray and Debbie Alexander of Westat, Inc. coordinated school recruitment. Eve Banilower provided graphic design advice.

The project advisory board, consisting of a number of science, mathematics, and computer science educators contributed to the design of the surveys. Board members were Angela Calabrese-Barton, Kent Crippen, Elizabeth (Betsy) Davis, Chris Dede, Ann Drobnis, Jeffrey Forbes, Tamara Moore, Carol O' Donnell, Janine Remillard, Eric Rhodes, and Cary Sneider. Finbarr (Barry) Sloane of NSF's Division of Research on Learning in Formal and Informal Settings provided valuable advice as Program Officer for the study. Although they provided many constructive comments and suggestions, those providing input were not asked to endorse the content of this report, nor did they see the final draft of the report before its release. Any errors, omissions, or other faults are the responsibility of the principal investigators.

Special thanks are due to the thousands of school personnel throughout the United States who took time from their busy schedules to provide information about their science, mathematics, and computer science programs.

